## NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DECISION

April 25, 2002

Requestor	Respondent			
RE: Injured Worker: MDR Tracking #: M2-02- IRO Certificate #: 4326	-0557-01			
organization (IRO). The Texas	the Texas Department of Insurance (TDI) as an independent review s Workers' Compensation Commission (TWCC) has assigned the above ependent review in accordance with TWCC Rule §133.308 which allows for an IRO.			
was appropriate. In performing parties referenced above in ma	ndent review of the proposed care to determine if the adverse determination g this review, relevant medical records, any documents utilized by the aking the adverse determination, and any documentation and written ort of the appeal was reviewed.			
neurosurgery which is the sam signed a certification statemen of the treating physicians or pr determination prior to the refer	performed by a physician reviewer who is board certified in the specialty as the treating physician. The physician reviewer has not stating that no known conflicts of interest exist between him or her and any roviders or any of the physicians or providers who reviewed the case for a gral to for independent review. In addition, the reviewer has certified that nout bias for or against any party to this case.			
Clinical History				
he was struck and thrown to th	nale was injured while working on the railroad in The patient stated that he floor. He returned to work from November 1999 until February 2001. His he had subjective leg weakness. Evidently a CT scan and myelogram were of which are unknown.			
Requested Service(s)				
Lumbar Discogram				
Decision				
It is determined that the lumba	ar discography is medically necessary to treat this patient's condition.			
Rationale/Basis for Decision				
radiculopathy on the left side. negative. The patient was refereviewed the MRI scan and coopinion, as a neurosurgeon of disruption with possible annula correlates well with the clinical lumbar discogram for further eable to correlate a patient's patreatment course of the patient have been labeled as having a objective signs of internal disc for discography, he has include	, the patient was felt to have lumbar disc disease with evidence of an L5 A MRI was performed at the request of and was interpreted as erred to, a neurosurgeon. At the time of initial evaluation, he ommented that he disagreed with the reading of the MRI since, in his considerable experience, the scan indicated probable internal disc ar tears at the L3-4 and L4-5 levels. He also had a disc bulge at L4-5. This I diagnosis of L5 radiculopathy as noted by has requested a evaluation of the patient has described the lumbar discogram as being hin pattern with radiographic abnormalities. Thus, discography may alter the table that this diagnostic test is particularly efficacious when patients a "normal MRI and CT scan" feels that the MRI is not normal but shows disruption as well as annular tear. In order to support his recommendation ed two definitive articles supporting his recommendation. The first article by as presented at the North American Spine Society meeting in October 2000.			

This is considered a definitive review article justifying the use of lumbar discography as an adjunct to the evaluation of lumbar disc disease. In addition, a second article by Joel S. Saal, M.D. and Jeffery A. Saal,

Page 2		

MD. published in the Journal Spine, Vol. 25, No.3, Feb. 2000, discusses the management of chronic discogenic low back pain. The Saal brothers' report on a peer review sanctioned study utilizing intradiscal thermal coagulation as a method of therapy. This therapeutic option has been sanctioned by a position statement from the North American Spine Society, Diagnostic and Therapeutic Committee, published in Spine, 1995, September 15, Vol. 20. This position statement endorsed discography as a valid diagnostic test, which can differentiate the various kinds of discogenic pain and is essential to the therapeutic recommendations of many patients. The patient fits the criteria for intervertebral discography and falls within the guidelines established by the North American Spine Society.

This decision by the IRO is deemed to be a TWCC decision and order.

## YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code '148.3). This Decision is deemed received by you 5 (five) days after it was mailed (28 Tex. Admin. Code '102.4(h) or 102.5(d)). A request for hearing, along with a copy of this decision notice, should be sent to:

Chief Clerk of Proceedings
Texas Workers' Compensation Commission
P.O. Box 40669
Austin, Texas 78704-0012.

The party appealing the decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute.

Sincerely,

cc: Injured Worker

David Martinez, Chief Medical Dispute Resolution, Medical Review Division, TWCC

I hereby verify that a copy of this Independent Review Organization (IRO) Decision was sent to the carrier, the requestor and claimant via facsimile or U.S. Postal Service from the office of the IRO on thisday of2002.
Signature of IRO Employee:
Printed Name of IRO Employee: